



City of Fairview Parks and Recreation

Survey Results

January 1996

The University of Tennessee
Municipal Technical Advisory Service
Becky Crowder, Municipal Management Consultant

in cooperation with

The Tennessee Municipal League

***City of Fairview
Parks & Recreation Survey***

I. INTRODUCTION

In December of 1995 the City of Fairview requested that the Municipal Technical Advisory Service conduct a citizen survey of the City's park and recreation activities and facilities. The purpose of the survey is to compliment the planning that has already begun with regard to the Master Plan for the Fairview Nature Park. The results of the survey are intended to provide direction in the planning of the Fairview Nature Park, as well as help the Board of Commissioners set priorities that citizens want. Additionally, there are occasional comparisons where possible to the results of a similar survey performed in 1990 for Fairview Parks and Recreation.


The survey was mailed to 500 households that were chosen on a random basis from the City's citizen mailing list. Approximately one in three households received the survey in December. The results were tabulated and can be found in Section III. Of the 500 households that were mailed surveys, approximately 100 or 20 percent responded to the survey. Overall the survey has a 95 percent level of confidence with a maximum +/- 7 percent sampling error. This means that for any survey question, one can say with 95 percent degree of confidence that the stated response has a sampling error of plus or minus 7 percent. The 95 percent level of confidence is a widely used and accepted research standard.

II. SURVEY RESULTS


The following is a summary of the survey results. The results are divided into four sections. Section A deals with the importance of recreation to residents of Fairview. Section B delves into people's attitudes and perspectives with regard to the Fairview Nature Park specifically. Section C discusses the demographic background of those individuals who responded to the survey.

Section A: Importance of Recreation


Of those responding to the survey, well over 60 percent have lived in Fairview 5 years and more. This is important because most residents will have an impression of parks and recreation programs, as well as experiences through programs and activities. There is a minority of 10 percent of those individuals responding that have lived in the City less than 2 years. Approximately 75 percent of those individuals responding to the 1990 Parks and Recreation Survey indicated they lived in town five years and more. Thus, more and more people are moving in as this percent has decreased to 60 percent.




The second finding of upfront importance is that an overwhelming majority (65 percent) do not favor a property tax increase to help finance the development of the Fairview Nature Park and programs. Of these individuals, 15 percent answered maybe and could perhaps be persuaded to support a tax increase. The number of individuals who do not favor a tax increase has risen since the 1990 survey was performed where 51 percent did not favor a tax increase.




The finding above is even more interesting due to the fact that 84 percent of respondents indicated that recreation is somewhat important, important or very important to them. The combination of these two answers reveals that recreation is very important, but respondents don't want to pay any more than they currently are for recreation. The previous survey from 1990 indicated that 97 percent of respondents felt recreation was somewhat important, important, or very important. This has decreased over five years to 84 percent of respondents.




Question 2 of the survey asks how many times have you visited any park or recreation facility outside of Fairview within the last 12 months. Of these responses, 65 percent have visited any park 3 or more times in the last year. The majority of respondents or 31 percent visited parks and recreation outside Fairview 7 to 12 times in the last year. In the 1990 survey, 70 percent indicate they had visited any park 3 or more times.



Question 4 asks respondents if you visited any park or facility, please indicate your main reason for going. The majority of individuals did not respond to this question. This means perhaps that the responses to check did not appropriately reflect why individuals go to the park. However, the number one response of those answering indicated that hiking/nature trails followed by picnic/family gathering were most popular reasons to go to the park.




Question 5 asks respondents how much time they spend on activities listed in the previous question each week. Most people, or more than 60 percent spend five hours or less a week on recreational activities. Twenty one percent of these respondents indicated that they spend 6 hours and up per week on recreation activities. Although individuals feel recreation is less important than compared with the 1990 Parks and Recreation Survey, more individuals are recreating 5 hours or less per week now with 60 percent indicating this is true while in 1990 only 51 percent indicated this.



Question 6 probes why people do not spend more time recreating. Fifty percent of respondents indicated that they simply do not have enough time. The challenge for the City is to find a way to deliver recreation programs that limit the amount of time needed. Perhaps there are things a recreation program can do to help people free up more time, for example, after-care or day-care services while individuals recreate. Provision of food or meals, etc. would also free up additional time. Ten percent of respondents did indicate that they do not have enough information. The City has to ask the question, would efforts and costs in

increased public relations be worth bringing in an additional 8 to 10 percent in participants. In the 1990 survey, individuals indicated they did not recreate more because 46 percent indicated not enough time, and 46 percent indicated facilities were too far. The facilities being too far response has changed dramatically since 1990 with only 14 percent indicating that is true today.




Question 11 asks respondents to rank activities for recreation. The following is the result of ranking each activity as the number one priority.


Swimming Pools	19
Playground Equipment	16
Biking/Walking/Trails	12
Golf Course	11
Fishing	8
Campgrounds	8
Fitness	7
Picnic Tables	6
Other	5
Horseback Riding	4
Nature Programs	4
Picnic Shelters	4
Boating	2

B: Fairview Nature Park


The results of Question 3: How many times in the last 12 months have you visited Fairview Nature Park parallel those answers to question 2. Again, over 30 percent have visited the park 3 to 6 times in the last year. The major difference between answers to this question and question number 2 is that individuals were more likely to visit outside parks more often. The goal for improvement here would be to get those individuals to replace their outside City visits with inside City visits.




Question 7: asks respondents if they are satisfied with the current recreational opportunities in the Fairview Nature Park? (walking, biking, horseback riding, etc.). Only 44 percent of those responding were satisfied with current recreational opportunities. This is important, in that there is room for improvement in the park. More importantly, this question when compared with the 1990 Parks and Recreation Survey shows a dramatic increase. In 1990 only 11 percent were satisfied with their current recreational opportunities. One item of concern with regard to this question is that following questions illustrate that respondents are split with regard to development of the Fairview Nature Park.




Question 8: requests whether respondents are satisfied with the current recreational facilities in the Fairview Nature Park? (Shelters, Playground, Restrooms, Trails, Equestrian Center). The results from this question parallel the previous question results as only 45 percent indicated they were satisfied with the facilities. However, when compared with the 1990 Parks and Recreation Survey results, there is a dramatic improvement because 76 percent indicated they were not satisfied. Today only 35 percent are not satisfied with the facilities.




It seems the key to whether individuals would take advantage of the Fairview Nature Park more, is whether or not it is more developed. Question 9 says, if the Fairview Nature Park was more development for recreational purposes, would your level of recreation participation, increase or decrease? Nearly 75 percent of respondents indicated their level of recreation participation would increase. There are two things about this question that need to be more fully developed: first, individuals do not want to pay in property taxes for this development, second as question 11 illustrates there is a split among respondents as to what development or more developed means.




Question 10 explores whether individuals feel that the development of the Fairview Nature Park should be a high priority for officials at City hall. Nearly 61 percent, indicate that it should be a high priority, while 22 percent indicates it should not be. The number that feel this should be a top priority has increased from 56 percent in the 1990 Parks and Recreation Survey to 61 percent today.



As previously mentioned Question 11 has resulted in a split among participants in the survey. Approximately 36 percent feel that the level of development should be conservative, while nearly 37 percent feel the development should be extensive. Agreement among these groups will be essential to future Park development. These results have changed dramatically since the 1990 survey where 56 percent wanted moderate development; 30 percent wanted extensive development; and 14 percent wanted little development.




An alternative to property tax financing of all the improvements at the Fairview Nature Park would be the willingness of individuals to voluntarily serve to assist with the Fairview Nature Park. Approximately 20 percent of respondents answered question 12 that they would be willing to volunteer and serve in the Fairview Nature Park. There is probably a relationship here between those individuals who do not recreate because of time pressures and those not able to volunteer. The number willing to volunteer has declined significantly since the 1990 Parks and Recreation Survey where 42 percent indicated they would be willing to volunteer.




C. Demographics of Respondents


Approximately 56 percent of those responding to Question 16 indicated they are male, while 44 percent are female. According to the 1990 census, 51 percent of Fairview residents are female, and 49 percent are male. This is a slight inverse of the results shown here. This could be due to two things: first more females have moved to Fairview or more males have left since 1990 or secondly, more men than women were inclined to answer the survey. In the 1990 Parks and Recreation Survey 55 percent of respondents were male and 45 percent were female.




Approximately 35 percent of survey respondents indicated they have no children under the age of 17. While 44 percent indicated they have one or two children. The 1990 Parks and Recreation Survey indicated that the average household had 1 child. The 1990 census indicates that the average number per household in Fairview is 2.98. The average age of households is 30.2 years old.




Question 17 results indicate that most people (92 percent) have someone else 18 and above living in the household.



Most respondents indicate that they work full-time with over 65 percent marking they work full-time. Again, this factor could contribute to the time pressures and not being able to fully enjoy recreation. Only 12 percent of individuals are retired. The number working full-time has dropped since the 1990 Parks and Recreation Survey where 78 percent worked full-time.



Sixty percent responded that their family income for 1995 was \$30,000 and more. The 1990 Parks and Recreation Survey results indicate that 48 percent of respondents fell into the \$30,000 and more income bracket, thus the increase to 60 percent indicates income may be keeping up with inflation.



III. TABULATION OF RESULTS

QUESTION 1: HOW IMPORTANT IS RECREATION TO YOU?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Very Important	1	39	39.8	39.8	39.8
Important	2	33	33.7	33.7	73.5
Somewhat Important	3	11	11.2	11.2	84.7
No Feeling Either Way	4	3	3.1	3.1	87.8
Not Important	5	6	6.1	6.1	93.9
No Response	9	6	6.1	6.1	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
TOTAL		98	100.0	100.0	

QUESTION 2: HOW MANY TIMES HAVE YOU VISITED ANY PARK OR RECREATION FACILITY OUTSIDE OF FAIRVIEW WITHIN THE LAST 12 MONTHS?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
None	1	11	11.2	11.2	11.2
Less than 3 times	2	17	17.3	17.3	28.6
3 to 6 times	3	31	31.6	31.6	60.2
7 to 12 times	4	20	20.4	20.4	80.6
More than 12 times	5	14	14.3	14.3	94.9
No Response	9	5	5.1	5.1	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
TOTAL		98	100.0	100.0	

QUESTION 3: HOW MANY TIMES IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS HAVE YOU VISITED FAIRVIEW NATURE PARK?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
None	1	16	16.3	16.3	16.3
Less than 3 Times	2	19	19.4	19.4	35.7
3 to 6 Times	3	32	32.7	32.7	68.4
7 to 12 times	4	12	12.2	12.2	80.6
More than 12 times	5	14	14.3	14.3	94.9
No Response	9	5	5.1	5.1	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
TOTAL		98	100.0	100.0	

QUESTION 4: IF YOU VISITED ANY PARK OR FACILITY, PLEASE INDICATE YOUR MAIN REASON FOR GOING?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Picnic/Family Gather	1	10	10.2	10.2	10.2
Special Events	2	8	8.2	8.2	18.4
Camping	3	3	3.1	3.1	21.4
Swimming	4	2	2.0	2.0	23.5
Fishing	5	2	2.0	2.0	25.5
Hiking/Nature Trails	6	13	13.3	13.3	38.8
Horseback Riding	7	3	3.1	3.1	41.8
Walking/Running	8	2	2.0	2.0	43.9
No Response	9	55	56.1	56.1	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	TOTAL	98	100.0	100.0	

QUESTION 5: HOW MUCH TIME DO YOU SPEND ON ACTIVITIES LISTED IN QUESTION 4 IN THE AVERAGE WEEK?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
5 Hours or Less	1	64	65.3	65.3	65.3
6 to 10 Hours	2	8	8.2	8.2	73.5
11 to 15 Hours	3	7	7.1	7.1	80.6
16 to 20 Hours	4	5	5.1	5.1	85.7
More than 20 Hours	5	1	1.0	1.0	86.7
No Response	9	13	13.3	13.3	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	TOTAL	98	100.0	100.0	

QUESTION 6: WHICH, IF ANY, OF THE FOLLOWING REASONS KEEP YOU FROM SPENDING MORE TIME RECREATING?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Not Enough Time	1	49	50.0	50.0	50.0
Facilities are Too Far	2	14	14.3	14.3	64.3
Lack of Information	3	10	10.2	10.2	74.5
Do not Enjoy	4	2	2.0	2.0	76.5
No Response	9	23	23.5	23.5	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	TOTAL	98	100.0	100.0	

QUESTION 7: ARE YOU SATISFIED WITH THE CURRENT RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES IN FAIRVIEW NATURE PARK? (WALKING, BIKING, HORSEBACK RIDING, ETC.)

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	44	44.9	44.9	44.9
No	2	32	32.7	32.7	77.6
No Opinion	3	19	19.4	19.4	96.9
No Response	9	3	3.1	3.1	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
TOTAL		98	100.0	100.0	

QUESTION 8: ARE YOU SATISFIED WITH THE CURRENT RECREATIONAL FACILITIES IN FAIRVIEW NATURE PARK? (SHELTERS, PLAYGROUND, RESTROOMS, TRAILS, EQUESTRIAN CENTER)

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	45	45.9	45.9	45.9
No	2	34	34.7	34.7	80.6
No Opinion	3	17	17.3	17.3	98.0
No Response	9	2	2.0	2.0	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
TOTAL		98	100.0	100.0	

QUESTION 9: IF THE FAIRVIEW NATURE PARK WAS MORE DEVELOPED FOR RECREATIONAL PURPOSES, WOULD YOUR LEVEL OF RECREATION PARTICIPATION INCREASE OR DECREASE?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Increase	1	73	74.5	74.5	74.5
Decrease	2	1	1.0	1.0	75.5
Stay about the Same	3	22	22.4	22.4	98.0
No Response	9	2	2.0	2.0	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
TOTAL		98	100.0	100.0	

QUESTION 10: DO YOU FEEL THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE FAIRVIEW NATURE PARK SHOULD BE A HIGH PRIORITY FOR OFFICIALS AT CITY HALL?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	60	61.2	61.2	61.2
No	2	22	22.4	22.4	83.7
No Opinion	3	14	14.3	14.3	98.0
No Response	9	2	2.0	2.0	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
TOTAL		98	100.0	100.0	

QUESTION 11: WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING BEST DESCRIBES THE LEVEL OF DEVELOPMENT YOU WOULD LIKE TO SEE ON THE FAIRVIEW NATURE PARK?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Conservative	1	35	35.7	35.7	35.7
Moderate	2	18	18.4	18.4	54.1
Extensive	3	36	36.7	36.7	90.8
No Response	9	9	9.2	9.2	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	TOTAL	98	100.0	100.0	

QUESTION 12: WOULD YOU BE WILLING TO VOLUNTARILY SERVE TO ASSIST WITH THE FAIRVIEW NATURE PARK?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	19	19.4	19.4	19.4
No	2	36	36.7	36.7	56.1
Maybe	3	36	36.7	36.7	92.9
No Response	9	7	7.1	7.1	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	TOTAL	98	100.0	100.0	

QUESTION 13: PLEASE RANK THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIES

BIKING/WALKING/TRAILS

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	12	12.2	12.2	12.2
	2	10	10.2	10.2	22.4
	3	7	7.1	7.1	29.6
	4	7	7.1	7.1	36.7
	5	2	2.0	2.0	38.8
	6	2	2.0	2.0	40.8
	7	5	5.1	5.1	45.9
	8	3	3.1	3.1	49.0
	9	7	7.1	7.1	56.1
	10	9	9.2	9.2	65.3
	11	3	3.1	3.1	68.4
	12	1	1.0	1.0	69.4
	14	2	2.0	2.0	71.4
	15	28	28.6	28.6	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	TOTAL	98	100.0	100.0	

ADDITIONAL PLAYGROUND EQUIPMENT

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	16	16.3	16.3	16.3
	2	14	14.3	14.3	30.6
	3	7	7.1	7.1	37.8
	4	4	4.1	4.1	41.8
	5	5	5.1	5.1	46.9
	6	2	2.0	2.0	49.0
	7	5	5.1	5.1	54.1
	8	5	5.1	5.1	59.2
	9	5	5.1	5.1	64.3
	10	3	3.1	3.1	67.3
	11	2	2.0	2.0	69.4
	14	2	2.0	2.0	71.4
	15	28	28.6	28.6	100.0
	TOTAL	98	100.0	100.0	

ADDITIONAL PICNIC TABLES

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	6	6.1	6.1	6.1
	2	7	7.1	7.1	13.3
	3	9	9.2	9.2	22.4
	4	4	4.1	4.1	26.5
	5	6	6.1	6.1	32.7
	6	9	9.2	9.2	41.8
	7	9	9.2	9.2	51.0
	8	6	6.1	6.1	57.1
	9	5	5.1	5.1	62.2
	10	3	3.1	3.1	65.3
	11	1	1.0	1.0	66.3
	12	3	3.1	3.1	69.4
	13	1	1.0	1.0	70.4
	14	1	1.0	1.0	71.4
	15	28	28.6	28.6	100.0
	TOTAL	98	100.0	100.0	

ADDITIONAL HORSEBACK RIDING

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	4	4.1	4.1	4.1
	2	3	3.1	3.1	7.1
	3	3	3.1	3.1	10.2
	4	3	3.1	3.1	13.3
	6	4	4.1	4.1	17.3
	7	7	7.1	7.1	24.5
	8	5	5.1	5.1	29.6
	9	6	6.1	6.1	35.7
	10	10	10.2	10.2	45.9
	11	8	8.2	8.2	54.1
	12	4	4.1	4.1	58.2
	13	4	4.1	4.1	62.2
	14	5	5.1	5.1	67.3
	15	32	32.7	32.7	100.0
	TOTAL	98	100.0	100.0	

BOATING

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	2	2.0	2.0	2.0
	2	8	8.2	8.2	10.2
	3	3	3.1	3.1	13.3
	4	7	7.1	7.1	20.4
	5	7	7.1	7.1	27.6
	6	6	6.1	6.1	33.7
	7	5	5.1	5.1	38.8
	8	5	5.1	5.1	43.9
	9	5	5.1	5.1	49.0
	10	4	4.1	4.1	53.1
	11	8	8.2	8.2	61.2
	12	5	5.1	5.1	66.3
	13	1	1.0	1.0	67.3
	15	32	32.7	32.7	100.0
	TOTAL	98	100.0	100.0	

ADDITIONAL PICNIC SHELTERS

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	4	4.1	4.1	4.1
	2	4	4.1	4.1	8.2
	3	7	7.1	7.1	15.3
	4	7	7.1	7.1	22.4
	5	7	7.1	7.1	29.6
	6	14	14.3	14.3	43.9
	7	6	6.1	6.1	50.0
	8	6	6.1	6.1	56.1
	9	4	4.1	4.1	60.2
	10	4	4.1	4.1	64.3
	11	2	2.0	2.0	66.3
	13	2	2.0	2.0	68.4
	14	1	1.0	1.0	69.4
	15	30	30.6	30.6	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	TOTAL	98	100.0	100.0	

ADDITIONAL NATURE PROGRAMS

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	4	4.1	4.1	4.1
	2	1	1.0	1.0	5.1
	3	6	6.1	6.1	11.2
	4	8	8.2	8.2	19.4
	5	11	11.2	11.2	30.6
	6	7	7.1	7.1	37.8
	7	3	3.1	3.1	40.8
	8	6	6.1	6.1	46.9
	9	6	6.1	6.1	53.1
	10	3	3.1	3.1	56.1
	11	6	6.1	6.1	62.2
	12	2	2.0	2.0	64.3
	13	3	3.1	3.1	67.3
	14	1	1.0	1.0	68.4
	15	31	31.6	31.6	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	TOTAL	98	100.0	100.0	

TENNIS COURTS

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	3	3.1	3.1	3.1
	2	8	8.2	8.2	11.2
	3	2	2.0	2.0	13.3
	4	3	3.1	3.1	16.3
	5	2	2.0	2.0	18.4
	6	2	2.0	2.0	20.4
	7	3	3.1	3.1	23.5
	8	6	6.1	6.1	29.6
	9	4	4.1	4.1	33.7
	10	8	8.2	8.2	41.8
	11	7	7.1	7.1	49.0
	12	11	11.2	11.2	60.2
	13	6	6.1	6.1	66.3
	14	3	3.1	3.1	69.4
	15	30	30.6	30.6	100.0
	TOTAL	98	100.0	100.0	

SWIMMING POOLS

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	19	19.4	19.4	19.4
	2	6	6.1	6.1	25.5
	3	4	4.1	4.1	29.6
	4	5	5.1	5.1	34.7
	5	8	8.2	8.2	42.9
	6	2	2.0	2.0	44.9
	7	3	3.1	3.1	48.0
	8	4	4.1	4.1	52.0
	9	2	2.0	2.0	54.1
	10	4	4.1	4.1	58.2
	11	4	4.1	4.1	62.2
	12	5	5.1	5.1	67.3
	13	3	3.1	3.1	70.4
	14	1	1.0	1.0	71.4
	15	28	28.6	28.6	100.0
	TOTAL	98	100.0	100.0	

GOLF COURSE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	11	11.2	11.2	11.2
	2	4	4.1	4.1	15.3
	3	2	2.0	2.0	17.3
	4	1	1.0	1.0	18.4
	5	2	2.0	2.0	20.4
	6	2	2.0	2.0	22.4
	8	1	1.0	1.0	23.5
	9	2	2.0	2.0	25.5
	10	2	2.0	2.0	27.6
	11	4	4.1	4.1	31.6
	12	9	9.2	9.2	40.8
	13	16	16.3	16.3	57.1
	14	13	13.3	13.3	70.4
	15	29	29.6	29.6	100.0
	TOTAL	98	100.0	100.0	

FISHING

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	8	8.2	8.2	8.2
	2	13	13.3	13.4	21.6
	3	7	7.1	7.2	28.9
	4	8	8.2	8.2	37.1
	5	8	8.2	8.2	45.4
	6	6	6.1	6.2	51.5
	7	4	4.1	4.1	55.7
	8	5	5.1	5.2	60.8
	9	5	5.1	5.2	66.0
	10	3	3.1	3.1	69.1
	11	4	4.1	4.1	73.2
	12	1	1.0	1.0	74.2
	15	25	25.5	25.8	100.0
	.	1	1.0	MISSING	
	TOTAL	98	100.0	100.0	

CAMPGROUNDS

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	8	8.2	8.2	8.2
	2	6	6.1	6.2	14.4
	3	3	3.1	3.1	17.5
	4	10	10.2	10.3	27.8
	5	5	5.1	5.2	33.0
	6	5	5.1	5.2	38.1
	7	8	8.2	8.2	46.4
	8	9	9.2	9.3	55.7
	9	3	3.1	3.1	58.8
	10	3	3.1	3.1	61.9
	11	2	2.0	2.1	63.9
	12	3	3.1	3.1	67.0
	13	2	2.0	2.1	69.1
	14	2	2.0	2.1	71.1
	15	28	28.6	28.9	100.0
	.	1	1.0	MISSING	
	TOTAL	98	100.0	100.0	

FITNESS COURSE

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	7	7.1	7.1	7.1
	2	2	2.0	2.0	9.2
	3	11	11.2	11.2	20.4
	4	6	6.1	6.1	26.5
	5	1	1.0	1.0	27.6
	6	5	5.1	5.1	32.7
	7	3	3.1	3.1	35.7
	9	3	3.1	3.1	38.8
	10	7	7.1	7.1	45.9
	11	6	6.1	6.1	52.0
	12	9	9.2	9.2	61.2
	13	6	6.1	6.1	67.3
	14	3	3.1	3.1	70.4
	15	29	29.6	29.6	100.0
	TOTAL	98	100.0	100.0	

OTHER

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	1	5	5.1	5.2	5.2
	2	2	2.0	2.1	7.2
	3	1	1.0	1.0	8.2
	4	1	1.0	1.0	9.3
	6	1	1.0	1.0	10.3
	7	1	1.0	1.0	11.3
	9	4	4.1	4.1	15.5
	10	1	1.0	1.0	16.5
	12	2	2.0	2.1	18.6
	13	3	3.1	3.1	21.6
	14	13	13.3	13.4	35.1
	15	63	64.3	64.9	100.0
	.	1	1.0	MISSING	
	TOTAL	98	100.0	100.0	

QUESTION 14: WOULD YOU FAVOR A PROPERTY TAX INCREASE TO HELP FINANCE THE DEVELOPMENT OF FAIRVIEW NATURE PARK AND PROGRAMS?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Yes	1	13	13.3	13.3	13.3
No	2	65	66.3	66.3	79.6
Maybe	3	15	15.3	15.3	94.9
No Response	9	5	5.1	5.1	100.0
	TOTAL	98	100.0	100.0	

QUESTION 15: PLEASE INDICATE YOUR BIRTHDATE?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
61 & Over	1	11	11.2	11.2	11.2
46 to 60	2	20	20.4	20.4	31.6
31 to 45	3	44	44.9	44.9	76.5
Under 30	4	17	17.3	17.3	93.9
No Response	99	6	6.1	6.1	100.0
	TOTAL	98	100.0	100.0	

QUESTION 16: PLEASE CIRCLE YOUR SEX:

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Male	1	52	53.1	53.1	53.1
Female	2	41	41.8	41.8	94.9
No Response	9	5	5.1	5.1	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
TOTAL		98	100.0	100.0	

QUESTION 17: INDICATE THE NUMBER OF ADULTS (AGE 18 AND OLDER) WHO ARE LIVING WITH YOU IN THE HOUSEHOLD:

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	8	8.2	8.6	8.6
	1	42	42.9	45.2	53.8
	2	29	29.6	31.2	84.9
	3	9	9.2	9.7	94.6
	4	4	4.1	4.3	98.9
	10	1	1.0	1.1	100.0
	.	5	5.1	MISSING	
		-----	-----	-----	
TOTAL		98	100.0	100.0	

QUESTION 18: INDICATE THE NUMBER OF CHILDREN (AGE 17 OR YOUNDER) WHO ARE LIVING WITH YOU IN THE HOUSEHOLD:

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
	0	34	34.7	37.0	37.0
	1	26	26.5	28.3	65.2
	2	17	17.3	18.5	83.7
	3	9	9.2	9.8	93.5
	4	6	6.1	6.5	100.0
	.	6	6.1	MISSING	
		-----	-----	-----	
TOTAL		98	100.0	100.0	

QUESTION 19: PLEASE INDICATE YOUR EMPLOYMENT STATUS:

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Full Time	1	65	66.3	66.3	66.3
Part Time	2	6	6.1	6.1	72.4
Not Working	3	8	8.2	8.2	80.6
Retired	4	12	12.2	12.2	92.9
No Response	9	7	7.1	7.1	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	TOTAL	98	100.0	100.0	

QUESTION 20: HOW LONG HAVE YOU LIVED IN FAIRVIEW?

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Less than 2 years	1	9	9.2	9.2	9.2
2-5	2	24	24.5	24.5	33.7
5-10	3	15	15.3	15.3	49.0
More than 10	4	46	46.9	46.9	95.9
No Response	9	4	4.1	4.1	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	TOTAL	98	100.0	100.0	

QUESTION 21: PLEASE ESTIMATE YOUR TOTAL FAMILY INCOME FOR 1995:

Value Label	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Less than \$10,000	1	7	7.1	7.1	7.1
\$10,000-19,999	2	11	11.2	11.2	18.4
\$20,000-29,000	3	6	6.1	6.1	24.5
\$30,000 or More	4	59	60.2	60.2	84.7
No Response	9	15	15.3	15.3	100.0
		-----	-----	-----	
	TOTAL	98	100.0	100.0	